Literature Cited

- (1) Seidel, J.; Rossner, K.; Kuschel, F. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1985, 30, 289.
- Schönert, H. Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 1966, 70, 891.
 Ninkovic, R. R.; Radovanovic, D. N. Glas. Hem. Drus. Beograd 1982, 47.615.
- Robinson, R. A.; Stokes, R. H. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1945, 41, 752.
 Kirgintsev, A. N.; Lukyanov, A. V. Zh. Fiz. Chim. 1966, 40, 1280.
 Gleskes, J. M.; Christenson, P. G. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1971, 16, 398.
 Padova, J.; Saad, D. J. Solution Chem. 1977, 6, 57. (4)
- (5)
- (6) (7)
- Hamer, W. J.; Wu, Y.-C. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1972, 1, 1047. (8)
- (9) Rard, J. A.; Habenschuss, A.; Spedding, F. H. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1976. 21. 374
- (10) Rard, J. A.; Miller, D. G. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1981, 26, 38.

- (11) Rard, J. A.; Miller, D. G. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1981, 26, 33. (12) Wexler, A.; Greenspan, I. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., Sect. A 1971,
- 75.213.
- (13) Scatchard, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 83, 2636.
 (14) Pitzer, K. S. "Activity Coefficients in Electrolyte Solutions"; CRC Press:
- Boca Raton, FL, 1979; Vol. 1, Chapter 7. (15) Pitzer, K. S. J. Solution Chem. 1975, 4, 249.
- (16) Robinson, R. A.; Platford, R. F.; Childs, C. W. J. Solution Chem. 1972,
- 1, 167. (17) Harvie, C. E.; Weare, J. H. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 1980, 44,
- 981. (18) "Handbook for Solubilities of Salt Systems"; Izd. Khimiya: Leningrad, 1973; Vol. 1/2.

Received for review December 13, 1984. Accepted April 16, 1985.

Excess Thermodynamic Properties for Acetonitrile/Water

M. A. Villamañán[†] and H. C. Van Ness*

Chemical and Environmental Engineering Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12180-3590

Heat-of-mixing data for the binary liquid system acetonitrile/water at 50 °C are reported. These data, together with H^E data at 5 and 25 °C from the literature and a previously published set of VLE data at 50 °C, are combined to provide correlations for H^E , G^E , and S^E that are functions of both composition and temperature.

Experimental heat-of-mixing data at 50 °C for the acetonitrile/water system, taken with the apparatus of Winterhalter and Van Ness (1), are listed in Table I. The acetonitrile was OmniSolv reagent from MCB Manufacturing Chemists, Inc., with an assay of 99.8 mol %; the water was doubly deionized. Boiling the reagents accomplishes partial degassing, and they are loaded into the calorimeter while hot. With this procedure, no evolution of dissolved gases occurs during a run.

Correlations for the Excess Properties

An excellent correlation of the reported H^E data is achieved by the eight-parameter Margules equation

$$H^{E}/(x_{1}x_{2}RT) = A' - C'x_{1}x_{2} + D'(x_{1}x_{2})^{2} - E'(x_{1}x_{2})^{3}$$
(1)

where $A' \equiv A_{21}'x_1 + A_{12}'x_2$; $C' \equiv C_{21}'x_1 + C_{12}'x_2$; $D' \equiv D_{21}'x_1 + D_{12}'x_2$; $E' \equiv E_{21}'x_1 + E_{12}'x_2$. Regression of the data to minimize the sum of squares of the differences between experimental and calculated values of H^E, all points weighted equally, leads to the following values of the parameters: A_{21}^{\prime} = 3.090 40, $A_{12}' = 0.28205$; $C_{21}' = 0.49964$, $C_{12}' = -13.33587$; $D_{21}' = -14.10170$, $D_{12}' = -66.70484$; $E_{21}' = -25.87204$, $E_{12}' = -104.79037$. The rms value of the deviations is 1.1 J mol⁻¹ and the maximum deviation is 2.1 J mol⁻¹. We could find no equation with a smaller number of parameters that provided a proper correlation of the data.

A comparable set of vapor/liquid equilibrium data, also at 50 °C, was reported recently by Villamañán et al. (2). A suitable correlation of these P-x data resulted when the excess Gibbs energy of the liquid phase was represented by the six-parameter Margules equation. For present purposes, we require Margules equations for both G^{E} and H^{E} with the same number of terms. We have therefore refit the P-x data of Villamañán

Table I. H^{E} -x Data for Acetonitrile (1)/Water (2) at 50 °C

<i>x</i> ₁					
	<i>x</i> ₂	$H^{\rm E}/{ m J} { m mol}^{-1}$	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	H ^E /J mol ⁻
0.0194	0.9806	28.0	0.4902	0.5098	1189.3
0.0501	0.9499	113.0	0.5418	0.4582	1232.2
0.0686	0.9314	177.6	0.5989	0.4011	1255.1
0.1066	0.8934	319.3	0.6482	0.3518	1249.2
0.1507	0.8493	472.8	0.6988	0.3012	1218.8
0.1999	0.8001	626.6	0.7511	0.2489	1147.6
0.2405	0.7595	739.1	0.7981	0.2019	1049.2
0.2475	0.7525	755.4	0.8494	0.1506	891.9
0.2919	0.7081	862.1	0.9007	0.0993	661.8
0.3432	0.6568	969.8	0.9327	0.0673	484.7
0.3936	0.60 6 4	1060.2	0.9579	0.0421	318.1
0.4457	0.5543	1137.2	0.9858	0.0142	115.3

et al. to provide parameters for the eight-parameter Margules equation, which may be written for G^{E} as

$$G^{E}/(x_{1}x_{2}RT) = A - Cx_{1}x_{2} + D(x_{1}x_{2})^{2} - E(x_{1}x_{2})^{3}$$
(2)

where $A \equiv A_{21}x_1 + A_{12}x_2$; $C \equiv C_{21}x_1 + C_{12}x_2$; $D \equiv D_{21}x_1$ + $D_{12}x_2$; $E \equiv E_{21}x_1 + E_{12}x_2$. The resulting parameter values are $A_{21} = 2.014 \ 13$, $A_{12} = 2.514 \ 24$; $C_{21} = 1.190 \ 13$, $C_{12} =$ $0.51224; D_{21} = 1.06764, D_{12} = -4.51292; E_{21} = -0.84890,$ $E_{12} = -8.86791$. The rms value of the pressure deviations is 1.0 kPa, and the maximum deviation is 1.9 kPa.

Since $S^{E} = (H^{E} - G^{E})/T$, we also have

$$S^{\mathsf{E}}/(x_1x_2R) = A'' - C''x_1x_2 + D''(x_1x_2)^2 - E''(x_1x_2)^3 \quad (3)$$

where $A'' \equiv A_{21}''x_1 + A_{12}''x_2$, $C'' \equiv C_{21}''x_1 + C_{12}''x_2$, $D'' \equiv D_{21}''x_1 + D_{12}''x_2$, $E'' \equiv E_{21}''x_1 + E_{12}''x_2$, with each parameter $M_{\mu}^{\prime\prime}$ related to the corresponding parameters in eq 1 and 2 by

$$M_{ij}^{\prime\prime} = M_{ij}^{\prime} - M_{ij}$$

The resulting parameter values for 50 °C are therefore $A_{21}'' = 1.07627$, $A_{12}'' = -2.23219$; $C_{21}'' = -0.69049$, $C_{12}'' = -13.84811$; $D_{21}'' = -15.16934$, $D_{12}'' = -62.19192$; $E_{21}'' = -25.02314$, $E_{12}'' = -95.92246$. The three excess properties at 50 °C as calculated from eq 1, 2, and 3 are plotted as functions of x_1 in Figure 1.

Additional data from the literature allow development of correlations that incorporate the temperature dependence of the parameters. The assumption that H^{E} is linear in T and

[†]Permanent address: E. T. S. de Ingenieros Industriales, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain.



Figure 1. Excess properties (J mol⁻¹) as functions of composition for acetonitrile (1)/water (2) at 50 °C.

imposition of the Gibbs/Helmholtz equation lead to the relations (3)

$$M_{\mu}' = M_{\mu 0}/T + M_{\mu 2} \tag{4}$$

$$M_{ij} = M_{ij0} / T + M_{ij1} - M_{ij2} \ln T$$
 (5)

where M_{ij}' and M_{ij} represent parameters in eq 1 and 2, and M_{ij0} , M_{ij1} , and M_{ij2} are constants. The H^E data at 50 °C reported in Table I and the data sets of Morcom and Smith (4) at 5 and 25 °C are fitted simultaneously by eq 1 with parameters given by eq 4. This establishes the constants M_{ij0} and M_{ij2} . The overall rms deviation of the fit is 2.9 J mol⁻¹. These constants, when combined with the parameter values already listed for G^E at 50 °C allow calculation of the constants M_{ij1} by application of eq 5. Values of all constants so determined are as follows: $A_{210} = 2074.744$, $A_{211} = -23.55573$, $A_{212} = -3.31414$; $A_{120} = -3623.278$, $A_{121} = 79.96047$, $A_{122} = 11.46288$; $C_{210} = 30.960.00$, $C_{211} = -642.7379$, $C_{212} = -94.86155$; $C_{120} = -32.072.63$, $C_{121} = 593.0406$, $C_{122} = 85.370.09$; $D_{210} = 154.674.6$, $D_{211} = -3302.486$, $D_{212} = -488.8976$; $D_{120} = -127.937.1$, $D_{121} = 2273.964$, $D_{122} = 325.8105$; $E_{210} = 283.642.7$, $E_{211} = -6044.612$, $E_{212} = -894.0664$; $E_{120} = -177.824.3$, $E_{121} = 3075.908$, $E_{122} = 438.6363$.

Discussion

Equations 1–5 should provide appropriate values of the excess properties H^E , G^E , and S^E over a considerable range of temperature. Thus we should be able to predict with reasonable accuracy the vapor/liquid equilibrium relations for the water/acetonitrile system over a temperature range from 0 to 100 °C. One can make both BUBL *T* calculations (given *x* and *P*, calculate *y* and *T*) and BUBL *P* calculations (given *x* and *T*, calculate *y* and *P*) as described by Van Ness and Abbott (*3*) and compare the results with VLE data from the literature. The necessary activity coefficient values are calculated from the correlation of G^E represented by eq 2 and 5, and fugacity coefficients come from the correlation of Hayden and O'Connell (*5*). Vapor pressures of the pure species are given by Antoine equations with coefficients from Boublik et al. (*6*).

The results of such calculations are certainly in qualitative agreement with data appearing in the data collection of Gmehling et al. (7). Multiple data sets are available only at

atmospheric pressure; no two of these are in agreement with each other, and none is in good quantitative agreement with predictions based on the correlation given here. In all probability the correlation is to be preferred to the available experimental *Pxy* and *Txy* data sets at temperatures where it is applicable.

Glossary

Registry No. Acetonitrile, 75-05-8.

Literature Cited

- (1) Winterhalter, D. R.; Van Ness, H. C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1966, 11, 189.
- (2) Villamañán, M. A.; Allawi, A. J.; Van Ness, H. C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1984, 29, 293.
- Van Ness, H. C.; Abbott, M. M. "Classical Thermodynamics of Nonelectrolyte Solutions: With Applications to Phase Equilibria"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1982; pp 296-9, 348-55.
 Morcom, K. W.; Smith, R. W. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1989, 1, 503.
- (4) Morcom, K. W.; Smith, R. W. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1969, 1, 503.
 (5) Hayden, J. G.; O'Connell, J. P. Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev. 1975, 14, 200
- 1975, 14, 209. (6) Boublik, T.; Fried, V.; Hala, E. "The Vapour Pressures of Pure Substances": Elsevier: Amsterdam. 1984: pp 117, 942.
- (7) Substances"; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1984; pp 117, 942.
 (7) Gmehling, J.; Onken, U.; Artt, W. "Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data Collection"; DECHEMA: Frankfurt/Main, 1977, 1981; Vol. 1, Parts 1 and 1a.

Received for review December 13, 1984. Accepted April 19, 1985.